CC-CV Controlled Fast Charging Using Type-2 Fuzzy for Lithium-Ion Battery
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Abstract—Energy dependency is increasing along with the increase in population growth rate, while fossil energy is decreasing. Alternative energy such as solar energy is one solution to provide renewable energy, but solar energy cannot provide an intense supply of energy. Therefore, the equipment needs energy storage. The battery has an important role in energy storage with the performance of the battery that needs attention. The method and type of battery used must be considered to maintain battery lifetime and reduce overcharging. The purpose of this research is to understand the process of fast charging using the CC-CV (Constant Current Constant Voltage) method on Lithium-Ion batteries which is expected to reduce battery overcharging. In this method, the current is maintained constant until certain conditions then followed by constant voltage to prevent overcharging. The voltage from the solar panel is very high, voltage reduction is needed as the charging voltage for the battery. The DC-DC Converter used is Buck Converter which is given Type-2 Fuzzy algorithm to maintain current of 10 Ampere during CC conditions and voltage of 14.4 Volt during CV condition that switch from CC condition to CV conditions at SoC 99.25%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Energy is an essential requirement and cannot be separated from human life. With the development of technology globally, the energy demand also increases, especially electrical energy. The energy demand will continue to increase along with the increase in population growth. Most of the energy consumed is non-renewable fossil energy [1]. Excessive use of non-renewable energy can lead to an energy crisis, so that the use of renewable energy is urgently needed in future life. Various approach have been taken to determine the potential of energy sources developed in Indonesia by utilizing solar energy as alternative energy utilization. However, solar energy cannot provide its energy supply intensely because it can only be obtained during the daytime. So it requires equipment that can be used as energy storage to provide an energy supply that can be utilized at any time. At this time, the most widely used energy storage is batteries. So that electrical energy converted by the solar panel can be stored in batteries [2].

As it is well known that the use of renewable energy requires equipment as energy storage, thus a fast charging of the battery from solar panels which can regulate the voltage drop from solar panels to battery and drain the maximum current from the solar panels is made in this research. The output voltage generated by the solar panel is very high for the battery charging process, so it is necessary to reduce the voltage [3].

In this CC-CV method, the current is maintained constant until certain conditions, followed by a constant voltage to prevent overcharging. When the voltage is constant, the current gradually decreases according to the battery conditions [4]. In order for the output of the converter to be stable, the Type-2 Fuzzy algorithm is used to maintain the current and voltage constant. Type-2 Fuzzy is a form of development of the Fuzzy logic Control (FLC) method. The input of the FLC method is in the form of error and delta error with output of duty cycle that will be used to adjust the PWM (Pulse Wide Modulation).

This research aims to determine the process of fast charging CC-CV process on lithium-ion battery using Type-2 Fuzzy. The type of converter used to reduce the voltage is the buck converter. The output current of the buck converter is 10 Ampere and the voltage is 14.4 Volt. The Type-2 Fuzzy algorithm is employed to maintain the voltage and current constant as designed. This method will be used as a parameter for charging a 10 Ah lithium-ion battery that takes about 1 hour until the battery is full. To support fast charging, several conditions are needed, such as the value of current used for charging the battery and also a battery that can handle large currents, therefore the lithium-ion battery is used. Lithium-Ion battery is designed to reach most of its capabilities and can accept large currents. So that the effectiveness of charging can be as desired [5].

II. METHODS

The methods used in this research will be explained at the following below:

A. System Design

This study aims to determine the fast-charging process using the CC-CV method with a voltage source from solar panels. Figure 1 shows the system design.

![Fig. 1. System design.](image-url)

From the block diagram of the system in Fig. 1, shows the source used is solar energy which is converted into electrical...
energy using solar panel, that energy is then stored in the battery. The buck converter is used for charging the battery so that the battery will be full quickly with the CC-CV method to reduce overcharge. The Type-2 Fuzzy algorithm is used in this research to maintain the output current and voltage to become constant.

B. System modelling

1) Buck Converter

Buck converter is a DC-DC converter topology that is used to reduce DC voltages. The working principle of this circuit is by switching control. The main components of the buck converter topology are an active switch (MOSFET), a passive switch (diode), a capacitor, and an inductor as the output filter. Illustration of the buck converter is shown in Fig. 2 [6].

![Fig. 2. Buck converter.](image)

To have the pure DC output of the circuit mentioned in Fig. 3, the inductor and capacitor act as a low pass filter which is installed after the electronic switch/MOSFET. This diode is essential for ensuring the desired flow of inductor current when the electronic switch is opened. The diode must be in reverse bias when the switch is closed [6].

![Fig. 3. Buck converter on state.](image)

Fig. 3 illustrates when the switch is closed, the diode is in reverse so that the input signal goes to the inductor and energy storage occurs. From the picture above, the equation can be written as follows:

\[
VL = VS - VO = L \frac{diL}{dt}
\]

(1)

\[
\frac{diL}{dt} = L \frac{\Delta iL}{\Delta t} = \frac{L}{iL} (VS - VO)
\]

(2)

\[
\Delta iL_{closed} = (\frac{VS - VO}{L}) DT
\]

(3)

\[
\frac{diL}{dt} = -\frac{V_o}{L}
\]

(4)

\[
\frac{diL}{dt} = \frac{\Delta iL}{\Delta t} = \frac{\Delta iL}{(1-D)T} = -\frac{V_o}{L}
\]

(5)

\[
\Delta iL_{opened} = -\frac{V_o}{L} (1 - D)T
\]

(6)

The parameter values used in the buck converter system with a frequency of 100000 Hz to reduce the inductor value. By using high frequency, the output wave will be smoother [7]. The specifications are as follows: \( V_{in} = 18 \) V; \( V_{out} = 14.4 \) V; \( I_{out} = 10 \) A; \( R = 1.44 \) \( \Omega \); \( L = 14.4 \) uH; \( C = 173.6 \) uF; \( f = 100000 \) Hz.

1. Duty cycle

\[
D = \frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \frac{14.4}{18} = 0.8
\]

2. Rated Load Current

\[
R = \frac{V_{out}}{I_{out}} = \frac{14.4}{10} = 1.44 \Omega
\]

3. Inductor value

Current Ripple = 20%

\[
i_L (avg) = I_{out} = I_R
\]

\[
\Delta i_L = 20\% \times i_L
\]

\[
= 0.2 \times 10
\]

\[
= 2 \text{ A}
\]

\[
L = \frac{V_{out} \times (1 - D)}{\Delta i_L \times f} = \frac{14.4 \times (1 - 0.8)}{2 \times 100000}
\]

\[
= 14.4 \text{ uH}
\]

4. Maximum Inductor Current

\[
I_{max} = i_L + \frac{\Delta i_L}{2}
\]

\[
I_{max} = 10 + \frac{2}{2} = 11 \text{ A}
\]

5. Capacitor Value

\[
r = \frac{\Delta V_{out}}{V_{out}} = 0.1\%
\]

\[
C = \frac{1 - D}{8\pi f r^2} = \frac{1 - D}{8\pi f r^2} = \frac{1 - 0.8}{8 \times 1.44 \times 10^{-6} \times 0.001 \times 100000^2} = 173.6 \text{ uF}
\]

2) Lithium-Ion Battery

The lithium-ion battery has many advantages, unlike other types of batteries. The advantages include that lithium-ion batteries have an excessive power density and power. This allows them to be the best preference in utilities that require a lot of energy [8]. The power of lithium-ion batteries can preserve the quantity of energy that last up to 3 instances more than Ni-Cd batteries with identical quantity and weight. However, the lithium-ion battery has a low self-discharge rate when not in use [9].

Lithium-ion batteries are members of the rechargeable battery family. Lithium-ion batteries use a dry polymer electrolyte shaped like a thin filament plastic layer. This film layer is arranged in a layer between the anode and cathode.
resulting in ion exchange. By this method the lithium-ion batteries can be made in a variety of shapes and sizes. Lithium-ion batteries have advantages such as being very lightweight, designed for more durable drop usage, and because the nature of this battery is non-removable and this battery has a better level of safety in high temperature conditions. This research used a 12 V/10 Ah battery with the rate of fast charging 1C [10].

3) CC-CV Method

Several methods can be used to control the battery’s charging process. One of the most popular is the CV (Constant Voltage) method, which maintains a constant voltage until the battery is at full capacity, but this method takes a long time to charge the battery. CC (Constant Current) method provides a constant current until the battery is full, but this method can cause overcharging. Another method used is CC-CV (Constant Current Constant Voltage). This method is safer because it does not cause overcharging, because when moving from CC to CV, the current will decrease. CC-CV method supports fast charging by providing current of 1C to shorten the charging time [11].

Fig. 5. Flowchart CC-CV method.

The process of the CC-CV method shows in Fig. 5, a constant current followed by constant voltage until the battery is full to reduce overcharge and maintain a lifetime battery.

Fig. 6. CC-CV method.

Fig. 6 shows CC-CV technique is divided into two conditions. The first condition is that the current is maintained constant, while the voltage will increase up to certain SOC. Then proceed with a condition where the voltage is maintained constant until the battery is full, in this condition the current will decrease.

4) Type-2 Fuzzy Algorithm

Type-2 Fuzzy algorithm is the development of the Fuzzy logic Control (FLC) method. The FLC method is a control system scheme that uses the concept of fuzzy set theory in its design. There are three stages in the FLC method, namely fuzzification, inference mechanism and defuzzification. Type-2 Fuzzy Method has advantages over the FLC method in determining appropriate membership functions, and a modeling variety of different data [12]. The following is Structure Type-2 Fuzzy logic system is shown in Fig. 7.

Fig. 7. Structure type-2 fuzzy.

Type-2 Fuzzy algorithm consists of four main processes, namely fuzzification, decision making (inference), reduction, and defuzzification. The structure is almost the same as the Fuzzy Type-1 structure. The difference is that after getting the result of the inference output, it is followed by reducing to change the set from Type-2 Fuzzy to the Fuzzy Type-1 set. Thus, Fuzzy Type-1 is defuzzified to be a firm value on the Type-2 Fuzzy [13].
Membership Function in Type-2 Fuzzy is a modification of Fuzzy Type-1. In Type-2 Fuzzy the membership function used consists of upper and lower limits, namely Upper MF and Lower MF [14]. Five membership functions, namely Big Negative (NB), Small Negative (NS), Zero (Z), Small Positive (PS), and Big Positive (PB).

TABLE I. RULE BASE TYPE-2 FUZZY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Delta u(k) )</th>
<th>( \Delta E(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type-2 Fuzzy has twenty-five rules, namely the membership function of the error variable and the delta error. The twenty-five rules are a combination of the five forms of the input variable membership function and the delta error. The resulting rules are shown in Table 1. For type reduction of Type-2 Fuzzy use Karnil and Mendel method and Sugeno method for defuzzification [15].

III. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After taking the actual data, the current and voltage parameters are obtained. These are used as a fast charging parameters of a lithium-ion battery using Type-2 Fuzzy algorithm to maintain a constant current and a constant voltage. A. The Test Results of Type-2 Fuzzy

Testing of Type-2 Fuzzy constant current constant voltage when charging is carried out to determine whether the system can maintain a constant current and a constant voltage according to the design and compare it when it is without control and control. Simulation Testing Type-2 Fuzzy constant current constant voltage is carried out under two conditions, namely constant current and constant voltage. Two conditions will be compared to determine the effect of the Type-2 Fuzzy control on the system.
Fig. 9. Open loop voltage response.

Fig. 10. Open loop current response.

Fig. 11. Close loop voltage response.

Fig. 12. Close loop current response.

Fig. 13. CV error comparison.

Fig. 14. CC error comparison.

Table 2 and Table 3 show the comparison simulation results between open loop and closed loop with load variations. Table 2 shows that the results of the CC simulation with variation load using Type-2 Fuzzy control get smaller errors on close loop. Table 3 shows the result of the CV simulation using the Type-2 Fuzzy control has better results than the open loop or without using the control. This comparison proves that the use of Type-2 Fuzzy control in the system is able to maintain the output current when CC condition and maintain the output voltage when the CV condition.

Table II. COMPARISON OF OPEN LOOP AND CLOSED LOOP CC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load (Ω)</th>
<th>Set Point (V)</th>
<th>Current (A)</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open Loop</td>
<td>Closed Loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. COMPARISON OPEN LOOP AND CLOSED LOOP CV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load (Ω)</th>
<th>Set Point (A)</th>
<th>Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open Loop</td>
<td>Closed Loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>14.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>14.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>14.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>14.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 and Table 3 show the comparison simulation results between open loop and closed loop with load variations. Table 2 shows that the results of the CC simulation with variation load using Type-2 Fuzzy control get smaller errors on close loop. Table 3 shows the result of the CV simulation using the Type-2 Fuzzy control has better results than the open loop or without using the control. This comparison proves that the use of Type-2 Fuzzy control in the system is able to maintain the output current when CC condition and maintain the output voltage when the CV condition.
is 4.7% for an open loop, and 3.2% for a close loop. Fig. 14, the open loop most considerable voltage error value is 44%, and the close-loop is 30% obtained at 2.5 Ω load. These results show that the Type-2 Fuzzy has worked well to maintain the current and voltage constant from the set point.

B. CC-CV Battery Charging

This simulation uses a 12 Volt battery with a capacity of 10 Ah. The initial battery charging process starts from SoC 99% to see the switch between the CC condition and the CV condition. The result of the simulation is shown in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SoC %</th>
<th>Volt (V)</th>
<th>Current (A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>10.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>10.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.10</td>
<td>14.32</td>
<td>10.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.15</td>
<td>14.37</td>
<td>10.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.20</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.25</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>9.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.30</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.35</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.40</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows the initial battery charging process starts from SOC 99% to see the switch between CC conditions to CV conditions. The switch occurs at SoC 99.25% with voltage that has been adjusted to 14.4 Volt. Fig. 15 and Fig. 16 show the constant current to constant voltage conditions.

From the battery charging test simulation, there are two conditions, namely at the beginning of charging, the current is constant, which indicates that the charging conditions is CC with charging current value of 10 Ampere, and when the SOC of the battery is close to full, which is when the SOC is 99.25%, the battery charging condition switches to CV with 14.4 Volt. The work of Type-2 Fuzzy control is that when the charging voltage has not reached the set point to switch a CV condition of 14.4 Volt, the duty cycle will continue tracking so that the charging current reaches/approaches the expected setting point. Furthermore, the Type-2 Fuzzy control for CC conditions will stop when the charging condition is CV condition.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the test results of lithium-ion battery fast charging system with constant current constant voltage method using Type-2 Fuzzy control, can be concluded that buck converter using Type-2 Fuzzy controller can maintain a constant current and a constant voltage to produce a tiny error of 1% CC condition and 0.83% CV condition with current and voltage output in close loop condition. Application of buck converter of lithium-ion battery charger with the Constant Current Constant Voltage method work well according to the current and voltage parameters desired, with a current of 10 Ampere and a voltage of 14.4 Volt used as a fast charging parameter lithium battery with a capacity of 10 Ah with a charge rate of 1C. Switch from CC condition to CV condition at SoC 99.25%.
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