

JAREE - Journal English - Ithenticate.docx

WORD COUNT

3858

TIME SUBMITTED

16-JAN-2023 01:15PM

PAPER ID

95570042

Prosumer Based Optimization of Educational Building Grid Connected with Plug-in Electric Vehicle Integration

2

Abstract— Educational buildings have the potential to support government programs in efforts to reduce carbon emissions. Installing photovoltaics and providing charging stations can reduce the use of fossil fuels and increase the number of electric vehicle users. This paper aims to optimize educational buildings when implementing a prosumer scheme and integrating Plug-in Electric Vehicles (PEV) to meet building electricity needs. Optimization is carried out through two Case studies, namely the application of a prosumer scheme with independent photovoltaic generators with and without PEV integration. The optimization process uses the Modified Firefly Algorithm. The results obtained by applying the prosumer scheme to educational buildings, the two Case studies can produce LCOE cheaper than just buying electricity from the grid. Optimizing results show that photovoltaic installation and charging stations in educational buildings can be beneficial when implementing a prosumer scheme.

Keywords—Optimization, Prosumer, Grid Connected, Plug-In Electric Vehicle, Modified Firefly Algorithm

23

I. INTRODUCTION

The Government of Indonesia determines the Economic Value of Carbon to reduce the country's carbon emissions in 2030 [1]. Currently, the largest carbon emissions come from Steam Power Plants and combustion vehicles. Using environmentally friendly energy can assist the government in realizing the program. Combustion vehicles are slowly being replaced by electric vehicles, with renewable energy sources such as photovoltaic. In addition to electric vehicles, photovoltaics can also be installed in large-roofed offices and educational buildings.

Another potential for a building that has an independent source of electrical energy is being able to implement a prosumer scheme. This means that the building can be a producer and consumer to meet the demand for electrical energy. This scheme can reduce the cost of building electrical energy consumption. The vehicle parking area can also be used as a charging station for electric vehicles. Thus, buying and selling electrical energy can occur between buildings and grid or buildings with electric vehicles. Plug-in Electric Vehicle (PEV) is a type of electric vehicle that can support the prosumer scheme because it has a facility for charging and discharging.

13

Opportunities for actualizing educational buildings as prosumers can be analyzed at the optimal capacity of each required component. Optimization aims to determine the optimal capacity of the components needed and the amount of profit that can be obtained. Several optimization studies have been carried out to obtain optimal capacity for the use of renewable energy sources [2][3][4]. Optimization is carried out to minimize the Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE). The algorithms used for optimization include Grasshopper Optimization Algorithm (GOA), Harris Hawks optimization (HHO), and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). PEV optimization is carried out to minimize the annual cost of photovoltaic components, wind turbines, and batteries in a smart house [5].

This paper aims to optimize educational buildings by implementing a prosumer scheme integrated with PEV to perceive how to minimize the costs. This optimization is the first step to realizing the opportunity for education buildings as prosumers. The optimization process used is the Modified Firefly Algorithm (MFA). The choice of this method is its ability to solve optimization problems used on economic dispatch problems with the most optimal results and fastest iteration times [6].

Optimization is carried out in two Cases; the first is applying photovoltaic in grid-connected buildings and implementing a prosumer scheme; the second is applying photovoltaic in grid-connected buildings and PEV integration. This research contributes to modeling the prosumer scheme in lecture building with land area limitation for photovoltaic installation, parking area capacity, time of existence of PEV, and modeling of load profile obtained from sampling and modeled according to the academic calendar and the national calendar.

This article is arranged sequentially, with the research methods described in section II, the results and discussion of the optimization process in section III, and the conclusion in section IV.

II. METHODS

The optimization process is performed by modeling the system in mathematical form. The data obtained is then used as input for the optimization process. LCOE is the objective function of this optimization problem.

A. Prosumer Modeling

Modeling of educational buildings as a prosumer is shown in Fig. 1. The educational building cho²⁴ in this study is Building B of Electronics Engineering at the Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia. The building is four floors high, consisting of lecture halls, lecturer workspaces, and laboratory rooms. The peak load of this building is quite large, approximately 90 kW. A rooftop area of 800 m² can be used to install photovoltaics, a parking lot with a capacity of 14 vehicles, and a parking space with a capacity of 14 vehicles. The electricity import tariff follows the price from PT PLN group P-3/TR, which is \$0.11; exports are 65% of the import tariff. Meanwhile, PEV exports and imports are set at a flat rate of \$0.11.




Fig. 1. Educational Building Prosumer Model

The components of the educational building as a prosumer include photovoltaic, inverter, grid, charging station, and PEV. Shortage or surplus of electrical energy from the buildings will meet by import or export to the grid or PEV by considering the most profitable time of existence and cost. The cost of a prosumer scheme is counted using equations (1)(2)(3).

$$PR_{Cost} = Grid_{Cost} + PEV_{Cost} \quad (1)$$

$$Grid_{Cost} = (\sum P_{imp} \times C_{imp}) - (\sum P_{exp} \times C_{exp}) \quad (2)$$

$$PEV_{Cost} = (\sum P_{EV_imp} \times C_{EV_imp}) - (\sum P_{EV_exp} \times C_{EV_exp}) \quad (3)$$

where, PR_{Cost} is the prosumer cost, $Grid_{Cost}$ is the grid transaction cost, PEV_{Cost} is the PEV transaction cost, P_{imp} and P_{exp} is the total import and export energy to grid, P_{EV_imp} and P_{EV_exp} is the total import and export energy to PEV, and C_{imp} , C_{exp} , C_{EV_imp} , C_{EV_exp} is the import and export cost of grid and PEV.

B. Components Modeling

Components optimized in this study include photovoltaic, inverter, grid, and PEV modeled into a mathematical form to perform optimal sizing.

1) Photovoltaic

Photovoltaics generate electrical energy by converting light energy into electrical energy. The amount of light intensity and ambient temperature affect the resulting power output, according to [7], which is modeled in

$$P_{pv_out}(t) = P_{pv_r} \times \left(\frac{G_t(t)}{1000} \right) \times [1 + \alpha_t (T_{amb}(t) + (0.0256 \times G_t) - T_{c_stc})]$$

(4). Meanwhile, the parameters needed in the optimization process are shown Table I.

where, P_{pv_out} is the output power (kW) of the photovoltaic module, G_t is solar irradiance (Wh / m^2), P_{pv_r} is photovoltaic rated power (kW), α_t is temperature coefficient ($-3,7 \times 10^{-3}$), T_{c_stc} is cell temperature at standard test condition ($^{\circ}C$), and T_{amb} is the ambient temperature ($^{\circ}C$).

2) Inverter

This optimization model uses an on-grid-inverter according to the needs of implementing a prosumer scheme. This type of inverter is responsible for equalizing the voltage and frequency so that the excess electrical energy from the photovoltaic can be exported to the grid. Inverter capacity is 20% larger than photovoltaic capacity [8]. The goal is that the output power from the photovoltaic can be channeled to be exported to the grid. The economic and technical parameters of the on-grid-inverter are presented in Table I.

3) Plug-in Electric Vehicle (PEV)

PEV is a type of electric vehicle that has a charge and discharge feature [9]. This advantage can be used to optimize educational buildings in running a prosumer scheme. The export and import of electrical energy are carried out on the grid and the PEV. Utilization of PEV to be able to connect with educational buildings requires a bidirectional charging station. The specifications of the PEV and charging station are shown Table I. The presence of PEVs that are not always in buildings is modeled in discrete data according to the national calendar shown in Fig. 2.

The parameter of PEV is part of the optimization constraint because there are parameters attached to the presence of PEV in the building. These parameters are arrival time (T_{arrive}^{PEV}), departure time (T_{dep}^{PEV}), SOC (state of charge); arrival-SOC (SOC_{arrive}^{PEV}), and departure-SOC (SOC_{dep}^{PEV}). PEV arrival and departure times are adjusted to office hours by following the normal distribution function and SOC [5]. Arrival and departure times with an average of 8 and 16 with a standard deviation of 2 hours. Arrival-SOC values are determined based on daily traffic data [10] and mileage/kWh of PEV [11]. Arrival-SOC is determined with an average of 80% and a standard deviation of 20%. Minimum departure-SOC is maintained at least 80%. The conditions of the above parameters are summarized in equations (5) (6)

$$T_{arrive}^{PEV} \square N(\mu_{PEVa}, \sigma_{PEVa}) \quad (5)$$

where $\mu_{PEVa} = 8, \sigma_{PEVa} = 2$ and $7 \leq T_{arrive}^{PEV} \leq 12$

$$T_{dep}^{PEV} \square N(\mu_{PEVd}, \sigma_{PEVd}) \quad (6)$$

where $\mu_{PEVd} = 16, \sigma_{PEVd} = 2$ and $12 \leq T_{dep}^{PEV} \leq 18$

$$SOC_{arrive}^{PEV} \square N(\mu_{SOCa}, \sigma_{SOCa}) \quad (7)$$

where $\mu_{SOCa} = 80, \sigma_{SOCa} = 20$ and $60 \leq SOC_{arrive}^{PEV} \leq 90$

$$SOC_{dep}^{PEV} \geq 80\% \quad (8)$$




Fig. 2. Annual time of PEV existence

TABLE I. TECHNICAL & ECONOMIC SPECIFICATION OF THE COMPONENTS

Device	Parameter	Values	Units
A. Photovoltaic	Type	BiHiKu7	-
	Rate Capacity	0,65	kWp
	Price/module	208	\$
	Lifetime	30	Years
	Rate Temperature	41 ± 3	°C
	Dimensions	1,3 × 2,4	m
	OM Cost	1	%
B. Inverter	Type	GW50KN-MT	-
	Rate Capacity	50	kW
	Price/Modul	4155,64	\$
	Lifetime	10	Years
	OM Cost	3	%
C. Charging Station	Rate Capacity	30	kW
	Price/Modul	3000	\$
D. Grid	Import Price	0,11	\$
	Export Price	0,0715	\$
E. PEV	Battery Capacity	40	kWh
	Import Price	0,11	\$
	Export Price	0,11	\$

C. Economic Analysis

Economic analysis is the main part of optimization in this study. Data processing involves the cost parameters of all optimization components.

1) Levelized cost of energy

LCOE is the price per unit of electrical energy produced, determined according to the total investment costs and the total production of electrical energy used [12]. The unit of LCOE is dollars per kilowatt hour. In general, LCOE is

$$LCOE = \frac{TNPC \times CRF}{\sum_{t=1}^{t=8760} P_{load}(t)}$$

formulated in equation (9).

$$LCOE = \frac{TNPC \times CRF}{\sum_{t=1}^{t=8760} P_{load}(t)} \quad (9)$$

where, $TNPC$ is the total net present cost and CRF is the capital recovery factor in percent units. CRF is determined

$$CRF = \frac{r \cdot (1+r)^n}{(1+r)^n - 1}$$

using the equation (10).

$$CRF = \frac{r \cdot (1+r)^n}{(1+r)^n - 1} \quad (10)$$

where r is interest rate, and n is the project lifetime. The value of r is determined according to the optimization location, which refers to [13], which is the average data for 2021 of 2.7% (percent).

2) Total net present cost

Total net present cost (TNPC) or life cycle cost is the cost incurred during the lifetime of the projects [14]. The calculation is by subtracting the results of the addition of initial costs, replacement costs, operation and maintenance costs, and prosumer costs with the salvage value. TNPC is formulated in equation (11).

$$TNPC = I_c + OM_c + R_c + (PR_{Cost} \times Lf_{prj}) - SV \quad (11)$$

Initial cost (I_c) includes the initial cost of the photovoltaic, inverter, and charging station. Operational and maintenance cost (OM_c) is applied to photovoltaic and inverter.

Replacement cost (R_c) dan salvage value (SV) only on the inverter because the calculation is according to the component lifetime. Prosumer cost (PR_{Cost}) according to the energy export and import transactions multiplied by the project lifetime (Lf_{prj}).

D. Optimization Data

Optimization is carried out to meet the electricity load demand of educational buildings. Based on the selected building, the building load profile is obtained through hourly sampling on holidays, weekdays without lecture activity, and active lecture days. The data is compiled into an annual load profile according to the academic and national calendars. The average profile and annual electrical load demand of B Building Electrical Engineering, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. Meteorological data is needed in the optimization process to calculate the output power of photovoltaic. Meteorological data, namely solar radiation and ambient temperature, were obtained from [15] according to the location of the building at the coordinates, latitude: -7.285° and longitude: 112.796° . The distribution of solar radiation and ambient temperature data every hour in one year is presented in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.




Fig. 3. Average Daily Load Profile




Fig. 4. Annual Load Profile




Fig. 5. Annual Irradiance Profile




Fig. 6. Annual Ambient Temperature Profile

E. Modified Firefly Algorithm for 20^{th} Item Optimization

The optimization Case study in this paper applies the modified firefly algorithm (MFA). Several modifications to the firefly algorithm aim to improve the performance of the basic firefly algorithm, either from the completion time or the accuracy of the optimization process [6][16]. MFA performance on MATLAB R2022a on AMD A8-7410 APU 2.2-2.5 GHz Quad Core 12 GB RAM and SSD 240GB (500MB/s). The selected MFA refers to [17], with modification in the form of decreasing the value of α (alpha) for each iteration. The goal is to improve the randomness of fireflies due to the exploration of the optimal solution so that convergence is achieved more quickly.

F. Optimization Formulation

Optimizing educational buildings as a prosumer is an economic analysis but must meet technical requirements. The economic analysis combines all costs to obtain the minimum Levelized Cost Of Energy (LCOE). The technical requirements are the fulfillment of electric load demand by existing energy sources with the ability limits of each component. Optimization is calculated with a project lifetime of 25 years.

1) Case 1

In Case 1, optimizing a grid-connected educational building has an independent energy source from photovoltaics by implementing a prosumer scheme. The objective function of the optimization problem is according to (12)(13). The LCOE formula is slightly modified by adding the total export power to the grid because it conforms to the theory that the divisor in the equation is the total production of used electrical energy.

$$\min f(pv) = [\text{LCOE}] \quad (12)$$

$$\text{LCOE} = \frac{\text{TNPC} \times \text{CRF}}{\sum_{t=1}^{t=8760} P_{\text{load}}(t) + \sum P_{\text{exp}}} \quad (13)$$

The result of the optimization is not only the minimum LCOE value, but the sizing of the component capacity must meet the maximum roof capacity limit that can be installed with photovoltaic and the fulfillment of the electric load demand on the building. The electric load demand adequacy limitation function according to (14) and the maximum photovoltaic capacity according to (15).

$$P_{pv_out} + P_{imp} \geq P_{load} \quad (14)$$

$$P_{pv_r} \leq \frac{W_a}{W_{m_pv}} \quad (15)$$

where, W_a is the wide area of building rooftops and

W_{m_pv} is wide of the photovoltaic module. Techno-

economic analysis in Case 1 is carried out according to the flowchart of Fig. 7.




Fig. 7. Algorithm for the optimization of Case 1

2) Case 2

In Case 2, optimizing a grid-connected educational building with an independent energy source from photovoltaics by implementing a prosumer scheme and PEV integration. The objective function in this optimization problem is appropriate (12), but the LCOE details are according to (16).

$$LCOE = \frac{TNPC \times CRF}{\sum_{t=1}^{t=8760} P_{load}(t) + \sum P_{exp} + \sum P_{EV_exp}} \quad (16)$$

TNPC in Case 2 [12] modified in the initial cost section by adding the initial cost of the charging station according to the optimal number of PEVs.

The limiting functions of Case 2 include sufficient electric load demand (17), maximum photovoltaic capacity (15), and conditions that must be met by the PEV according to (5)(6)(7)(8).

$$P_{pv_out} + P_{imp} + P_{PEV_imp} \geq P_{load} \quad (17)$$

Techno-economic analysis in Case 2 is carried out according to the flowchart of Fig. 8, the appendix.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimal sizing of two Cases has been carried out in grid-connected educational buildings with a prosumer scheme. Using MFA, the optimal system configuration is determined by minimizing LCOE. The results are in the form of a comparison of LCOE and the advantages of cases 1 and 2.

A. Optimal Sizing Analysis

Prosumers system optimal sizes of educational building for Case 1 and Case 2 are shown in Table 2. The optimal capacity of components is determined based on load supply, building area limits for photovoltaic installation, and parking area with minimum LCOE. The minimum photovoltaic size in cases 1 and 2 is the same. The limitation

of the roof area of the building as an installation area causes the capacity of the two cases to be similar. The optimal number of PEVs in Case 2 is seven units from the maximum number that can be parked is 14 units. The number of charging stations follows the optimal number of PEVs.

TABLE II. OPTIMIZATION RESULT

	Case 1	Case 2	Units
Optimal Sizing			
PV	156	156	kWp
Inverter	200	200	kW
Σ PEV	-	7	Unit
Σ Charging Station	-	7	Unit
Economic Result			
TNPC	388,142.75	380,686.77	\$
Annual Cost	21,551.72	21,137.73	\$
LCOE	0.0472	0.0455	\$
Annual Power			
PV	287,031.92	287,031.92	kWh/year
Grid Import	173,787.73	168,567.19	kWh/year
Grid Export	99,733.28	93,280.95	kWh/year
PEV Import	-	5,238.35	kWh/year
PEV Export	-	14,559.46	kWh/year

B. Economic Analysis

Table 2 shows the LCOE, TNPC, Annual Cost, and annual power for Case 1 and Case 2. Comparison of the optimal LCOE of Case 1 and Case 2 that integrates PEV, Case 2 produces LCOE 3.6% cheaper than Case 1. If it is brake down, in terms of Annual Cost and TNPC, Case 2 is 1.9% smaller than Case 1. Annual power generation from photovoltaic both cases are the same. The difference lies in the allocation of export and import power, prosumers price scheme, and additional charging station investment costs in Case 2. In Case 1, the advantages and disadvantages of 100% of educational buildings are imported and exported to the grid. In Case 2, 97% of import power is obtained from the grid and 3% from the PEV. Meanwhile, the export power is sent to the grid at 86.6% and 13.5% PEV. The optimization results by applying the prosumers scheme show that with an expected project lifetime of 25 years, Case 1 can save LCOE by 57%, and Case 2 can save LCOE by 58.6% of grid electricity prices. This is in line with [18] that PEV integration with optimal calculations of each component can obtain profitable electricity costs.

C. Power Flow Analysis

The power flow from both cases is analyzed to show that the power distribution follows the load demand and the power generated. One week sample power flow from Cases 1 and 2 that includes load demand, photovoltaic output power, and export and import of electrical energy are shown in Figures 8 to 11. Sample semester dates are taken in the second week, whereas sample vacation dates are taken in the fourth week of January 2021. This month represents the rainy season, where the irradiance's intensity is certainly not at its maximum.

1) Case 1

In Fig. 8, which represents semester dates, it appears that photovoltaic power output on weekdays rarely exceeds load

demand, meaning that limited power can be exported. Power exports tend to be bigger on weekends because load demand is small. In Fig. 9, power export takes place every day on vacation dates. Import power from the grid takes place when the photovoltaic output power cannot meet the load demand, whereas the amount is always according to the load requirements.




Fig. 8. One Week Sample of Semester Dates (Case 1)




Fig. 9. One Week Sample of Vacation Dates (Case 1)

2) Case 2

Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 show the power flow in Case 2. The two figures illustrate that the difference between Case 2 and Case 1 is that power exports are allocated to the grid and PEV. The main power export to PEV is because there is a minimum power departure constraint and the export price of power at PEV is more profitable. However, the power export to PEV can only be done on weekdays when PEV is available. Import power also prioritizes from PEV, whereas the power shortage will be imported from the grid. As shown in Fig. 11, the export allocation is more varied on vacation dates because PEV is still available on vacation dates, and load demand is not as big as on semester dates.




Fig. 10. One Week Sample of Semester Dates (Case 2)




Fig. 11. One Week Sample of Vacation Dates (Case 2)

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper optimizes educational building opportunities in implementing prosumer schemes when installing solar panels and PEV integration. The optimal results are in the form of 2 configurations: photovoltaic installation and applying the prosumer scheme and photovoltaic installation and PEV integration using the prosumer scheme. The most optimal results from the two configurations are photovoltaic installation and PEV integration by implementing a prosumer scheme because, with an expected project lifetime of 25 years, this configuration can reduce the cost of purchasing electrical energy (LCOE) by 58.6% compared to only buying electricity from the grid. These results underlie that the application of the prosumer model can reduce the cost of electrical energy for an educational building, even with certain characteristics of the educational building's load demand, limited building space for solar panel installation, and limited number of PEVs. The challenge faced in implementing this scheme is that it requires a huge initial investment and the need for regulation from the government regarding the implementation of the prosumer scheme, especially in Indonesia.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kemenkeu, "Perpres Nilai Ekonomi Karbon Ditetapkan, Indonesia Siap Capai Target Penurunan Emisi Karbon 2030," 2021. <https://fiskal.kemenkeu.go.id/publikasi/siaran-pers-10/340>.
- [2] A. L. Bakar, C. W. Tan, and K. Y. Lau, "Optimal sizing of an autonomous photovoltaic/wind/battery/diesel generator microgrid using grasshopper optimization algorithm," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 188, pp. 28-38, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2019.06.050.
- [3] I. Çetinbaş, B. Tamyürek, and M. Demirtaş, "Sizing optimization and design of an autonomous AC microgrid for commercial loads

- using Harris Hawks Optimization algorithm,” *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 245, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2021.114562.
- [4] B. A. Bhayo, H. H. Al-Kayiem, S. I. U. Gilani, and F. B. Ismail, “Power management optimization of hybrid solar photovoltaic-battery integrated with pumped-hydro-storage system for standalone electricity generation,” *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 215, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2020.112942.
- [5] B. Naghibi, M. A. S. Masoum, and S. Deilami, “Effects of V2H Integration on Optimal Sizing of Renewable Resources in Smart Home Based on Monte Carlo Simulations,” *IEEE Power Energy Technol. Syst. J.*, vol. 5, no. 3, 2018, doi: 10.1109/jpets.2018.2854709.
- [6] S. Liaquat *et al.*, “Application of Dynamically Search Space Squeezed Modified Firefly Algorithm to a Novel Short Term Economic Dispatch of Multi-Generation Systems,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3046910.
- [7] R. Ayop and C. W. Tan, “A comprehensive review on photovoltaic emulator,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 80, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.217.
- [8] B. A. Bhayo, H. H. Al-Kayiem, and S. I. Gilani, “Assessment of standalone solar PV-Battery system for electricity generation and utilization of excess power for water pumping,” *Sol. Energy*, vol. 194, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2019.11.026.
- [9] P. Kou, D. Liang, L. Gao, and F. Gao, “Stochastic Coordination of Plug-In Electric Vehicles and Wind Turbines in Microgrid: A Model Predictive Control Approach,” *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 7, no. 3, 2016, doi: 10.1109/TSG.2015.2475316.
- [10] Numbeo.com, “Traffic in Indonesia,” 2022. https://www.numbeo.com/traffic/country_result.jsp?country=Indonesia.
- [11] Santikaaristi, “Rasakan Hematnya Pakai Mobil Listrik,” *web.pln.co.id*, 2022. <https://web.pln.co.id/cms/media/siaran-pers/2022/07/rasakan-hematnya-pakai-mobil-listrik-fitra-eri-isi-daya-70-ribu-bisa-tempuh-jarak-300-km/#:~:text=Fitra Eri mengungkapkan%2C untuk 1, listrik melaju hingga 300 km.>
- [12] K. Kusakana, “Feasibility analysis of river off-grid hydrokinetic systems with pumped hydro storage in rural applications,” *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 96, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2015.02.089.
- [13] “Real interest rate (%) - Indonesia,” *The World Bank*, 2022. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/FR.INR.RINR?locations=ID>
- [14] M. Das, M. A. K. Singh, and A. Biswas, “Techno-economic optimization of an off-grid hybrid renewable energy system using metaheuristic optimization approaches – Case of a radio transmitter station in India,” *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 185, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2019.01.107.
- [15] European Commission, “PHOTOVOLTAIC GEOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM.” https://re.jrc.ec.europa.eu/pyg_tools/en/.
- [16] B. M. Hussein and A. S. Jaber, “Unit commitment based on modified firefly algorithm,” *Meas. Control (United Kingdom)*, vol. 53, no. 3–4, 2020, doi: 10.1177/0020294019890630.
- [17] A. Tjahjono, D. O. Anggriawan, A. K. Faizin, A. Priyadi, M. Pujiantara, and M. H. Pumomo, “Optimal coordination of overcurrent relays in radial system with distributed generation using modified firefly algorithm,” *Int. J. Electr. Eng. Informatics*, vol. 7, no. 4, 2015, doi: 10.15676/ijeei.2015.7.4.12.
- [18] R. Atia and N. Yamada, “More accurate sizing of renewable energy sources under high levels of electric vehicle integration,” *Renew. Energy*, vol. 81, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2015.04.010.

Appendix




Fig. 12. Algorithm for the optimization of Case 2

10%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | www.mdpi.com
Internet | 64 words — 2% |
| 2 | jaree.its.ac.id
Internet | 33 words — 1% |
| 3 | Piampoom Sarikprueck, Wei-Jen Lee, Asama Kulvanitchaiyanunt, Victoria C. P. Chen, Jay M. Rosenberger. "Bounds for Optimal Control of a Regional Plug-in Electric Vehicle Charging Station System", IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 2018
Crossref | 26 words — 1% |
| 4 | sun-connect.org
Internet | 20 words — < 1% |
| 5 | Aobo Zhou, Ruifeng Yan, Tapan Kumar Saha. "Capacity and Control Strategy Design of Isolated Micro-Grid With High Renewable Penetration", IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, 2020
Crossref | 18 words — < 1% |
| 6 | www.hindawi.com
Internet | 18 words — < 1% |
| 7 | Chuanbo Xu, Yiming Ke, Yanbin Li, Han Chu, Yunna Wu. "Data-driven configuration optimization of an off-grid wind/PV/hydrogen system based on | 17 words — < 1% |

modified NSGA-II and CRITIC-TOPSIS", Energy Conversion and Management, 2020

Crossref

8 Jong-Chui Park, Jae Koo Lee, Bongkoo Kang. "Properties of inductively coupled plasma source with helical coil", IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, 2000 15 words — < 1%

Crossref

9 Bei Li, Robin Roche, Abdellatif Miraoui. "Microgrid sizing with combined evolutionary algorithm and MILP unit commitment", Applied Energy, 2017 14 words — < 1%

Crossref

10 www.ijrti.org 14 words — < 1%

Internet

11 M. S.Hassan, Adel A. Elbaset. "A Comparative Study for Optimum Design of Grid Connected PV System based on Actual System Specifications", 'Foundation of Computer Science', 2015 12 words — < 1%

Internet

12 Rajanand Patnaik Narasipuram, Subbarao Mopidevi. "A technological overview & design considerations for developing electric vehicle charging stations", Journal of Energy Storage, 2021 12 words — < 1%

Crossref

13 Tauruski Syaifullah Anwar, Rosmaliati, Ahmad Firyal Adila, Vita Lystianingrum, Ardyono Privadi, Mauridhi Hery Purnomo. "Design and Implementation of a Piezoelectric Accelerometer Using Arduino Mega for Detection of Vibration on the 20 kV Transformer Tank", 2018 International Seminar on Intelligent Technology and Its Applications (ISITIA), 2018 12 words — < 1%

Crossref

14 Lokeshgupta Bhamidi, S. Sivasubramani. "Optimal Sizing of Smart Home Renewable Energy Resources and Battery Under Prosumer-Based Energy Management", IEEE Systems Journal, 2021 11 words — < 1%
Crossref

15 Mahad Shaikh, Muhammad Uzair, Usman Allauddin. "Effect of geometric configurations on charging time of latent-heat storage for solar applications", Renewable Energy, 2021 11 words — < 1%
Crossref

16 core.ac.uk 11 words — < 1%
Internet

17 Akbar Maleki, Mohammad Alhuyi Nazari, Fathollah Pourfayaz. "Harmony search optimization for optimum sizing of hybrid solar schemes based on battery storage unit", Energy Reports, 2020 10 words — < 1%
Crossref

18 content.iospress.com 10 words — < 1%
Internet

19 www.sid.ir 10 words — < 1%
Internet

20 Kimhok Chheng, Ardyono Priyadi, Margo Pujiantara, Vincentius Raki Mahindara. "The Coordination of Dual Setting DOCR for Ring System Using Adaptive Modified Firefly Algorithm", 2020 International Seminar on Intelligent Technology and Its Applications (ISITIA), 2020 9 words — < 1%
Crossref

21 Chaowanan Jamroen. "Optimal techno-economic sizing of a standalone floating photovoltaic/battery 8 words — < 1%

energy storage system to power an aquaculture aeration and monitoring system", Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, 2022

Crossref

22 Endro Wahjono, Dimas Okky Anggriawan, Epyk Sunarno, Syechu Dwitya Nugraha, Anang Tjahjono. "Maximum Power Point Tracking of Photovoltaic Module for Battery Charging Based on Modified Particle Swarm Optimization", International Review on Modelling and Simulations (IREMOS), 2017 8 words — < 1%

Crossref

23 icid.sunankalijaga.org 8 words — < 1%

Internet

24 oaji.net 8 words — < 1%

Internet

25 section.iaesonline.com 8 words — < 1%

Internet

26 www.globalscientificjournal.com 8 words — < 1%

Internet

27 Hyung-Chul Jo, Gilsung Byeon, Jong-Yul Kim, Seul-Ki Kim. "Optimal Scheduling for a Zero Net Energy Community Microgrid With Customer-Owned Energy Storage Systems", IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 2021 7 words — < 1%

Crossref

28 vbn.aau.dk 7 words — < 1%

Internet

29 Bahman Naghibi, Mohammad A. S. Masoum, Sara Deilami. "Effects of V2H Integration on Optimal Sizing of Renewable Resources in Smart Home based on Monte

Carlo Simulations", IEEE Power and Energy Technology Systems Journal, 2018

Crossref

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF